

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/620,697 | 07/17/2003 | Kunihiko Hayashi | 67471-020 | 9304 | |
| MCDERMOTT | 7590 08/08/2007 C, WILL & EMERY | EXAMINER | | | |
| 600 13th Street, N.W. | | | TANG, KENNETH | | |
| Washington, DC 20005-3096 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2195 | , | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/08/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| MN |
|----|
|----|

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/620,697 | HAYASHI, KUNIHIKO | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kenneth Tang | 2195 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ap | oril 2007 | | | | | |
| · '= ' | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | • | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. | 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | · | · | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>2-7</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 July 2003 is/are: a)[| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | on is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a) |)-(d) or (f) | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | priority under do d.d.d. g 1 10(a) | y-(d) 01 (1). | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| | · | ed in this National Stage | • | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/04, 4/27/07 | 6) Other: | aten Application | | | | |
| Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/620,697 Page 2

Art Unit: 2195

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. In claims 9-10, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 9-10 are directed to a task management program. This task management program is a software program that is neither a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. Since the claimed invention does not fall within at least one of the four categories of patent eligible subject matter recited in 35 U.S.C. 101, claims 9-10 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hooman et al. (hereinafter Hooman) (US 7,155,716 B2) in view of Chauvel et al. (hereinafter Chauvel) (US 2002/0065867 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/620,697

Art Unit: 2195

4. As to claim 1, Hooman teaches a program execution apparatus that determines an execution sequence of tasks and executes the tasks according to the execution sequence, each task being given a target completion time before which execution of the task is to be completed, the program execution apparatus comprising:

Page 3

at least one identifier of at least one task (task identifier) and a plurality of types of priorities set for the task, wherein the plurality of types of priorities (first priority type, second priority type, etc., col. 6, lines 54-59) having a hierarchical relationship (high, medium and low, Fig. 9, 902, 904, 906);

a receiving unit operable to receive an identifier of a new task and a plurality of types of priorities set for the new task (col. 15, lines 39-42 through col. 16, lines 1-3);

a determining unit operable to determine an execution sequence of the tasks according to an arrangement sequence of the identifiers (col. 15, lines 39-42 through col. 16, lines 1-3, col. 3, lines 4-6, etc.).

- 5. Hooman is silent in teaching a storing unit to store the task ID and a writing unit operable to write the identifier received by the receiving unit, at a memory position in the storing unit determined based upon priority received by the receiving unit.
- 6. However, Chauvel teaches processing tasks, wherein a task ID is read/stored ([0043]) and that task information is assigned to/accessed from address space regions (memory positions) based upon priority ([0014]-[0015]).

Application/Control Number: 10/620,697 Page 4

Art Unit: 2195

7. Hooman and Chauvel are analogous art because they are in the same field of endeavor of priority task scheduling.

- 8. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hooman's priority task management system to include Chauvel's address space priority arbitration of a task management system.
- 9. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to receive the benefits and predicted results of having task management that takes into account task processing times, resource capabilities and capacity, and other task processing needs (Chauvel, [0011]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Hooman with Chauvel to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.
- 10. As to claim 8, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 1.
- 11. As to claim 9, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 1.
- 12. As to claim 10, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,697 Page 5

Art Unit: 2195

13. As to claim 11, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 1. In addition, Chauvel teaches using the claimed invention in a mobile telecommunications device ([0097]).

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 2-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Tang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3772. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 6:00PM, Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2195

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.